System Programming for Linux Containers

Seccomp

Michael Kerrisk, man7.org © 2025

January 2025

mtk@man7.org

Outline	Rev: #8d7fc39ab521
22 Seccomp	22-1
22.1 Introduction	22-3
22.2 Seccomp filtering and BPF	22-5
22.3 The BPF virtual machine and BPF instructions	22-12
22.4 BPF filter return values	22-24
22.5 BPF programs	22-28
22.6 Checking the architecture	22-43
22.7 Exercises	22-48
22.8 Productivity aids (<i>libseccomp</i> and other tools)	22-55
22.9 Applications and further information	22-65
22.10 Other filter return actions	22-68
22.11 History	22-74

22	Seccomp	22-1
22.1	Introduction	22-3
22.2	Seccomp filtering and BPF	22-5
22.3	The BPF virtual machine and BPF instructions	22-12
22.4	BPF filter return values	22-24
22.5	BPF programs	22-28
22.6	Checking the architecture	22-43
22.7	Exercises	22-48
22.8	Productivity aids (<i>libseccomp</i> and other tools)	22-55
22.9	Applications and further information	22-65
22.1	O Other filter return actions	22-68
22.1	1 History	22-74

What is seccomp?

- Kernel provides large number of system calls
 - ≈400 system calls
- Each system call is a vector for attack against kernel
- Most programs use only small subset of system calls
 - Remaining systems calls should never legitimately occur
 - If they do occur, perhaps it is because program has been compromised
- Seccomp ("secure computing") = mechanism to restrict system calls that a process may make
 - Reduces attack surface of kernel
 - A key component for building application sandboxes
- Used by many apps; e.g., Chrome, Firefox, OpenSSH, vsftpd, systemd, Docker, LXC, Flatpak, strace

22	Seccomp	22-1
22.1	Introduction	22-3
22.2	Seccomp filtering and BPF	22-5
22.3	The BPF virtual machine and BPF instructions	22-12
22.4	BPF filter return values	22-24
22.5	BPF programs	22-28
22.6	Checking the architecture	22-43
22.7	Exercises	22-48
22.8	Productivity aids (<i>libseccomp</i> and other tools)	22-55
22.9	Applications and further information	22-65
22.10	Other filter return actions	22-68
22.1	1 History	22-74

Seccomp filtering

- Allows filtering based on system call number and argument (register) values
 - Pointers can **not** be dereferenced
 - Because of time-of-check, time-of-use race conditions Seccomp and deep argument inspection https://lwn.net/Articles/822256/, June 2020
 - Landlock LSM, added in Linux 5.13 (2021), addresses this restriction(?)

Seccomp filtering overview

- Steps:
 - Construct filter program that specifies permitted syscalls
 - Process installs filter into kernel
 - Process executes code that should be filtered
 - For example: exec() new program, or invoke function in dynamically loaded library (plug-in)
- Once installed, every syscall made by process triggers execution of filter
- Installed filters can't be removed
 - Filter == declaration that we don't trust subsequently executed code

System Programming · Linux Containers ©2025 M. Kerrisk

Seccomp

22-7 §22.2

BPF byte code

- Seccomp filters are expressed as BPF (Berkeley Packet Filter) programs
- BPF is a byte code which is interpreted by a virtual machine (VM) implemented inside kernel

BPF origins

- BPF originally devised (in 1992) for tcpdump
 - Monitoring tool to display packets passing over network
 - http://www.tcpdump.org/papers/bpf-usenix93.pdf
- Volume of network traffic is enormous ⇒ must filter for packets of interest
- BPF allows in-kernel selection of packets
 - Filtering based on fields in packet header
- Filtering in kernel more efficient than filtering in user space
 - Unwanted packets are discarded early
 - Avoid expense of passing every packet over kernel-user-space boundary
- \odot Seccomp \Rightarrow generalize BPF model to filter on syscall info

System Programming · Linux Containers ©2025 M. Kerrisk

Seccomp

22-9 §22.2

Generalizing BPF

- BPF originally designed to work with network packet headers
- Seccomp2 developers realized BPF could be generalized to solve different problem: filtering of system calls
 - Same basic task: test-and-branch processing based on content of a small set of memory locations

BPF virtual machine

- BPF defines a virtual machine (VM) that can be implemented inside kernel
- VM characteristics:

System Programming · Linux Containers ©2025 M. Kerrisk

- Simple instruction set
 - Small set of instructions
 - All instructions are same size (64 bits)
 - Implementation is simple and fast
- Programs are limited to 4096 instructions
- Only branch-forward instructions
 - Programs are directed acyclic graphs (DAGs)
- Kernel can verify validity/safety of programs
 - Program completion is guaranteed (DAGs)

Seccomp

• Simple instruction set \Rightarrow can verify opcodes and arguments

22-11 §22.2

Can detect dead code

22-1
22-3
22-5
22-12
22-24
22-28
22-43
22-48
22-55
22-65
22-68
22-74

Key features of BPF virtual machine

- Accumulator register (32-bit)
- Data area (data to be operated on)
 - In seccomp context: data area describes system call
- All instructions are 64 bits, with a fixed format
 - Expressed as a C structure:

- See <linux/filter.h> and <linux/bpf_common.h>
- No state is preserved between BPF program invocations
 - E.g., can't intercept n'th syscall of a particular type

BPF instruction set

Instruction set includes:

- Load instructions (BPF_LD)
- Store instructions (BPF_ST)
 - There is a "working memory" area where info can be stored (not persistent)
- Jump instructions (BPF_JMP)
- Arithmetic/logic instructions (BPF_ALU)
 - BPF_ADD, BPF_SUB, BPF_MUL, BPF_DIV, BPF_MOD, BPF_NEG
 - BPF_OR, BPF_AND, BPF_XOR, BPF_LSH, BPF_RSH
- Return instructions (BPF_RET)
 - Terminate filter processing
 - Report a status telling kernel what to do with syscall

System Programming · Linux Containers ©2025 M. Kerrisk

Seccomp

22-15 §22.3

BPF jump instructions

- Conditional and unconditional jump instructions provided
- Conditional jump instructions consist of
 - Opcode specifying condition to be tested
 - Value to test against
 - Two jump targets
 - jt: target if condition is true
 - *jf*: target if condition is false
- Conditional jump instructions:
 - BPF_JEQ: jump if equal
 - BPF_JGT: jump if greater
 - BPF_JGE: jump if greater or equal
 - ullet BPF_JSET: bit-wise AND + jump if nonzero result
 - jf target ⇒ no need for BPF_{JNE,JLT,JLE,JCLEAR}

BPF jump instructions

- Targets are expressed as relative offsets in instruction list
 - 0 == no jump (execute next instruction)
 - jt and jf are 8 bits \Rightarrow 255 maximum offset for conditional jumps
- Unconditional BPF_JA ("jump always") uses k as offset, allowing much larger jumps

System Programming · Linux Containers ©2025 M. Kerrisk

Seccomp

22-17 §22.3

Seccomp BPF data area

- Seccomp provides data describing syscall to filter program
 - Buffer is read-only
 - I.e., seccomp filter can't change syscall or syscall arguments
- Can be expressed as a C structure...

Seccomp BPF data area

- nr: system call number (architecture-dependent); 4-byte int
- arch: identifies architecture
 - Constants defined in linux/audit.h>
 - AUDIT_ARCH_X86_64, AUDIT_ARCH_ARM, etc.
- instruction_pointer: CPU instruction pointer
- args: system call arguments
 - System calls have maximum of six arguments
 - Number of elements used depends on system call

System Programming · Linux Containers ©2025 M. Kerrisk

Seccomp

22-19 §22.3

Building BPF instructions

- One could code BPF instructions numerically by hand...
- But, header files define convenience macros (and symbolic constants) to ease the task:

 These macros just plug values together to form sock_filter structure initializer

Building BPF instructions: examples

Load architecture number into accumulator

- Opcode here is constructed by ORing three values together:
 - BPF_LD: load
 - BPF_W: operand size is a word (4 bytes)
 - BPF_ABS: address mode specifying that source of load is data area (containing system call data)
 - See linux/bpf_common.h> for definitions of opcode constants
- Operand is architecture field of data area
 - offsetof() yields byte offset of a field in a structure

System Programming · Linux Containers ©2025 M. Kerrisk

Seccomp

22-21 §22.3

Building BPF instructions: examples

Test value in accumulator

```
BPF_JUMP(BPF_JMP | BPF_JEQ | BPF_K, AUDIT_ARCH_X86_64, 1, 0)
```

- BPF_JMP | BPF_JEQ: jump with test on equality
- BPF_K: value to test against is in generic multiuse field (k)
- k contains value AUDIT_ARCH_X86_64
- jt value is 1, meaning skip one instruction if test is true
- jf value is 0, meaning skip zero instructions if test is false
 - I.e., continue execution at following instruction

Building BF	PF instructions	s: examples
--------------------	-----------------	-------------

Return a value that causes kernel to kill process

BPF_STMT(BPF_RET | BPF_K, SECCOMP_RET_KILL_PROCESS)

• Arithmetic/logic instruction: add one to accumulator

BPF_STMT(BPF_ALU | BPF_ADD | BPF_K, 1)

• Arithmetic/logic instruction: right shift accumulator 12 bits

BPF_STMT(BPF_ALU | BPF_RSH | BPF_K, 12)

System Programming · Linux Containers ©2025 M. Kerrisk Seccomp 22-23 §22.3

22 Seccomp	22-1
22.1 Introduction	22-3
22.2 Seccomp filtering and BPF	22-5
22.3 The BPF virtual machine and BPF instructions	22-12
22.4 BPF filter return values	22-24
22.5 BPF programs	22-28
22.6 Checking the architecture	22-43
22.7 Exercises	22-48
22.8 Productivity aids (<i>libseccomp</i> and other tools)	22-55
22.9 Applications and further information	22-65
22.10 Other filter return actions	22-68
22.11 History	22-74

Filter return value

- Once filter is installed, every syscall is tested against filter
- Seccomp filter must return a value to kernel indicating whether syscall is permitted
 - Otherwise EINVAL when attempting to install filter
- Return value is 32 bits, in two parts:
 - Most significant 16 bits specify an action to kernel
 - SECCOMP_RET_ACTION_FULL mask
 - Least significant 16 bits specify "data" for return value
 - SECCOMP_RET_DATA mask

#define SECCOMP_RET_ACTION_FULL 0xffff0000U
#define SECCOMP_RET_DATA 0x0000ffffU

Filter return action

Possible filter return actions include:

- SECCOMP_RET_ALLOW: system call is allowed to execute
- SECCOMP_RET_KILL_PROCESS (since Linux 4.14, 2017): process (all threads) is immediately killed
 - Terminated as though process had been killed with SIGSYS
 - There is no actual SIGSYS signal delivered, but...
 - To parent (via wait()) it appears child was killed by SIGSYS
 - Core dump is also produced
- SECCOMP_RET_ERRNO: return an error from system call
 - System call is not executed
 - Value in SECCOMP RET DATA is returned in errno
 - But, capped to 4095
- There are other possible return actions....
 - See seccomp(2) manual page

System Programming · Linux Containers ©2025 M. Kerrisk

		<u> </u>		=

22 Seccomp	22-1
22.1 Introduction	22-3
22.2 Seccomp filtering and BPF	22-5
22.3 The BPF virtual machine and BPF instructions	22-12
22.4 BPF filter return values	22-24
22.5 BPF programs	22-28
22.6 Checking the architecture	22-43
22.7 Exercises	22-48
22.8 Productivity aids (<i>libseccomp</i> and other tools)	22-55
22.9 Applications and further information	22-65
22.10 Other filter return actions	22-68
22.11 History	22-74

Installing a BPF program

- A process installs a filter for itself using one of:
 - seccomp(SECCOMP_SET_MODE_FILTER, flags, &fprog)
 - Since Linux 3.17 (2014)
 - Provides additional features unavailable with prctl()
 - prctl(PR_SET_SECCOMP, SECCOMP_MODE_FILTER, &fprog)
 - Legacy mechanism for installing seccomp filter
- &fprog is a pointer to a BPF program:

Installing a BPF program

To install a filter, one of the following must be true:

- Caller is privileged (CAP_SYS_ADMIN in its user namespace)
- Caller has to set the no new privs process attribute:

```
prctl(PR_SET_NO_NEW_PRIVS, 1, 0, 0, 0);
```

- Causes set-UID/set-GID bit / file capabilities to be ignored on subsequent execve() calls
 - Once set, no_new_privs can't be unset
 - Per-thread attribute
- Prevents possibility of attacker starting privileged program and manipulating it to misbehave using a seccomp filter
- ! no_new_privs && ! CAP_SYS_ADMIN ⇒
 seccomp()/prctl(PR_SET_SECCOMP) fails with EACCES

System Programming · Linux Containers ©2025 M. Kerrisk

Seccomp

22-31 §22.5

Example: seccomp/seccomp_deny_open.c

```
int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
   prctl(PR_SET_NO_NEW_PRIVS, 1, 0, 0, 0);

install_filter();

open("/tmp/a", O_RDONLY);

printf("We shouldn't see this message\n");
exit(EXIT_SUCCESS);
}
```

Program installs a filter that prevents open() and openat() being called, and then calls open()

- Set no_new_privs bit
- Install seccomp filter
- Call open()

Example: seccomp/seccomp_deny_open.c

- BPF filter program consists of a series of sock_filter structs
- For now we ignore some BPF code that checks the architecture that BPF program is executing on
 - A This is an essential part of every BPF filter program
- Load system call number into accumulator
- (BPF program continues on next slide)

System Programming · Linux Containers ©2025 M. Kerrisk

Seccomp

22-33 §22.5

Example: seccomp/seccomp_deny_open.c

- Test if system call number matches __NR_open
 - True: advance 2 instructions ⇒ kill process
 - False: advance 0 instructions ⇒ next test
 - (open() is absent on some architectures, because it can be implemented using openat())
- Test if system call number matches __NR_openat
 - True: advance 1 instruction \Rightarrow kill process
 - ullet False: advance 0 instructions \Rightarrow allow syscall
- (Note: creat() + open_by_handle_at() are still not filtered)

Example: seccomp/seccomp_deny_open.c

- Construct argument for seccomp()
- Install filter

System Programming · Linux Containers ©2025 M. Kerrisk

Seccomp

22-35 §22.5

Example: seccomp/seccomp_deny_open.c

Upon running the program, we see:

```
$ ./seccomp_deny_open

Bad system call  # Message printed by shell
$ echo $?  # Display exit status of last command

159
```

- "Bad system call" was printed by shell, because it looks like its child was killed by SIGSYS
- Exit status of 159 (== 128 + 31) also indicates termination as though killed by SIGSYS
 - ullet Exit status of process killed by signal is 128 + signum
 - SIGSYS is signal number 31 on this architecture
 - (List signals and their numbers with: kill −l)

Example: seccomp/seccomp_control_open.c

- A more sophisticated example
- Filter based on flags argument of open() / openat()
 - O_CREAT specified ⇒ kill process
 - O_WRONLY or O_RDWR specified ⇒ cause call to fail with ENOTSUP error
- flags is arg. 2 of open(), and arg. 3 of openat():

```
int open(const char *pathname, int flags, ...);
int openat(int dirfd, const char *pathname, int flags, ...);
```

• flags serves exactly the same purpose for both calls

System Programming · Linux Containers @2025 M. Kerrisk

Seccomp

22-37 §22.5

Example: seccomp/seccomp_control_open.c

- Load system call number
- For open(), load flags argument (args[1]) into accumulator, and then skip to flags processing
 - (Some architectures don't have open())

Example: seccomp/seccomp_control_open.c

- For *openat()*, load *flags* argument (*args[2]*) into accumulator and continue to *flags* processing
- Allow all other system calls

System Programming · Linux Containers @2025 M. Kerrisk

Seccomp

22-39 §22.5

Example: seccomp/seccomp_control_open.c

```
BPF_JUMP(BPF_JMP | BPF_JSET | BPF_K, O_CREAT, O, 1),
BPF_STMT(BPF_RET | BPF_K, SECCOMP_RET_KILL_PROCESS),

BPF_JUMP(BPF_JMP | BPF_JSET | BPF_K, O_WRONLY | O_RDWR, O, 1),
BPF_STMT(BPF_RET | BPF_K, SECCOMP_RET_ERRNO | ENOTSUP),

BPF_STMT(BPF_RET | BPF_K, SECCOMP_RET_ALLOW)

};
```

Process *flags* value:

- Test if O_CREAT bit is set in flags
 - True: skip 0 instructions ⇒ kill process
 - False: skip 1 instruction
- Test if O_WRONLY or O_RDWR is set in flags
 - True: cause call to fail with ENOTSUP error in errno
 - False: allow call to proceed

Example: seccomp/seccomp_control_open.c

```
int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    prctl(PR_SET_NO_NEW_PRIVS, 1, 0, 0, 0);
    install_filter();

if (open("/tmp/a", O_RDONLY) == -1)
        perror("open1");
    if (open("/tmp/a", O_WRONLY) == -1)
        perror("open2");
    if (open("/tmp/a", O_RDWR) == -1)
        perror("open3");
    if (open("/tmp/a", O_CREAT | O_RDWR, 0600) == -1)
        perror("open4");

    exit(EXIT_SUCCESS);
}
```

Test open() calls with various flags

System Programming · Linux Containers ©2025 M. Kerrisk

Seccomp

22-41 §22.5

Example: seccomp/seccomp_control_open.c

```
$ touch /tmp/a
$ ./seccomp_control_open
open2: Operation not supported
open3: Operation not supported
Bad system call
$ echo $?
159
```

- First open() succeeded
- Second and third open() calls failed
 - Kernel produced ENOTSUP error for call
- Fourth open() call caused process to be killed
 - (159 == 128 + 31; SIGSYS is signal 31)